## WRITTEN DECISION - NOT FOR PUBLICATION

3 4

2

5

6

7

8

9

10 In re:

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

11 DONALD A. YATES, 12 Debtor. 13 GREGORY A. AKERS, Chapter 7 14 Trustee, 15 Plaintiff, 16 v. DAVID WILLIAMS, an individual;) THOMAS G. DURISOE, an individual; JIM BABLER, an individual; and DONALD A. 19 YATES, the debtor, 20 Defendants.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 04-05619-H7

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

ADVERSARY CASE NO. 04-90530-H7

ORDER RE TRUSTEE'S REQUEST FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING EVICTION OF DEBTOR

Gregory A. Akers, Chapter 7 trustee (the "trustee"), moved for summary judgment on his complaint to avoid post-petition transfers and to recover and sell property of the estate pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 549(a), 550, 363(b) and (h) and 105(a). Upon recovery of the property, the trustee also sought to remove and evict the debtor, Donald A. Yates (the "debtor"), from the premises and submitted the declaration of the trustee in support.

of his real property has raised concerns that the debtor may take steps to decrease the marketability or value of the property, cancel insurance, or fail to make homeowners fees and mortgage payments, if the debtor remains in possession.

trustee testified that debtor's unauthorized post-petition transfer

The trustee's motion for summary judgment came on for hearing on August 16, 2005. After considering the pleadings and hearing oral argument, the Court authorized the debtor to submit a declaration against the eviction. The trustee filed his response.

After reviewing the declarations of Craig E. Dwyer, Esq., Brian M. Mahoney, Esq., and the debtor, the court finds that the trustee's requested eviction of the debtor from the premises at 5885 El Cajon Blvd., #107, San Diego, CA 92115, Assessor Parcel No. 466-750-36-07 (the "property"), is a proper exercise of the trustee's business judgment. Specifically, the trustee is concerned that the debtor will interfere with the trustee's marketing and sale of the property and perhaps commit waste against the property.

Since December 2004, when the trustee discovered that the debtor had sold the residence without notice or court approval, the case has been marked by a complete lack of cooperation by the debtor to assist the trustee in setting aside the unauthorized sale as well as assisting the trustee in determining whether two secured liens against the property are in fact bona fide loans. The most recent example of the lack of cooperation occurred at a hearing before this court on August 16, 2005, when the debtor opposed the transfer of the subject property back to the trustee by the current owner of the property, even though the purported owner consented to

transfer the property to the trustee as requested.

Further, as of August 17, 2005, despite the debtor's assertions that he would maintain the property expenses and adequately insure the property, the trustee still had not received a copy of the insurance policy nor proof of payment of real property taxes. Given the history in this matter, there is no reason to conclude, that the debtor will cooperate with the trustee and his broker in attempting to show the property to prospective purchasers.

The debtor asserts that permitting the trustee to sell the property would cause him irreparable harm if he would prevail on the issues in the adversary proceedings. The court has already ruled on this issue. The court gave the debtor additional time to file a declaration to support his contention that he would be harmed if he would be removed or evicted from the subject property. The debtor's declaration of August 16, 2005, (¶ 22), does not address the issue of eviction, but addresses the issue of the trustee's sale of the subject property. Accordingly, the trustee's request to remove or evict the debtor from the subject property is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2005

JOHN J. HARGROVE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

C:\Documents and Settings\bkuser\Local